

11 April 2022

At 5.00 pm

Council

Agenda

1. **Confirmation of Minutes**
2. **Disclosures of Interest**
3. **Minutes by the Lord Mayor**
 - 3.1 21st Anniversary of the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre
 - 3.2 Support for Qtopia and a Sydney Queer Museum
4. **Memoranda by the Chief Executive Officer**
 - 4.1 Superannuation for Councillors
5. **Matters for Tabling**
6. **Report of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee**
 - 6.1 Disclosures of Interest
 - 6.2 Investments Held as at 31 March 2022
 - 6.3 Special Rate Variation for 2022/23
 - 6.4 Public Exhibition – Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050 Continuing the Vision and Community Strategic Plan
7. **Report of the Business and Economic Development Committee**
 - 7.1 Disclosures of Interest
 - 7.2 Post Exhibition/Adoption - Precinct Activation Grant Guidelines
8. **Report of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee**
 - 8.1 Disclosures of Interest
 - 8.2 Public Exhibition - Draft Waterloo Estate (South) Development Control Plan and Submission - Waterloo Estate (South) Planning Proposal and Draft Waterloo Estate (South) Design Guide

Agenda

- 8.3 Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal - Oxford Street Creative and Cultural Precinct - Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 and Draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 - Public Exhibition - Draft LGBTIQ+ Oxford Street Social and Cultural Place Strategy
- 8.4 Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal – Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland – Sydney Local Environmental Plan (Green Square Town Centre) 2013 and Green Square Town Centre Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 Amendment
- 8.5 Fire Safety Reports
- 9. Questions on Notice**
- 10. Supplementary Answers to Previous Questions**
- 11. Notices of Motion**
 - 11.1 City of Sydney Recognition of and Support for Australian South Sea Islanders
 - 11.2 Moore Park Road Temporary Cycleway
 - 11.3 Potts Point Waste Collection
 - 11.4 Gender Equity in City Grant Funding

Item 1

Confirmation of Minutes

Minutes of the following meeting of Council are submitted for confirmation:

Meeting of 21 March 2022

Item 2

Disclosures of Interest

Pursuant to the provisions of the City of Sydney Code of Meeting Practice and the City of Sydney Code of Conduct, Councillors are required to disclose pecuniary interests in any matter on the agenda for this meeting.

Councillors are also required to disclose any non-pecuniary interests in any matter on the agenda for this meeting.

This will include receipt of reportable political donations over the previous four years.

In both cases, the nature of the interest must be disclosed.

Local Government and Planning Legislation Amendment (Political Donations) Act 2008

The Local Government and Planning Legislation Amendment (Political Donations) Act 2008 ("the Act") requires the disclosure of relevant political donations or gifts when planning applications are made to minimise any perception of undue influence. The amendments to the Act require disclosure to the Electoral Funding Authority of:

- a **reportable political donation** as defined in the Election Funding and Disclosures Act 1981 (a donation of \$1000 or more made to or for the benefit of the party, elected member, group or candidate or made by a major political donor to or for the benefit of a party, elected member, group or candidate, or made to the major political donor), or
- a **gift** (as defined in the Election Funding and Disclosures Act 1981) to any local councillor or council employee (and includes a disposition of property or a gift of money or the provision of other valuable or service for no consideration or for inadequate consideration) when a relevant planning application is made to a council.

A donation of less than \$1000 can be a reportable political donation if the aggregated total of such donations was made by an entity or person to the same party, elected member, group or candidate or person.

Item 3.1

21st Anniversary of the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre

File No: S051491

Minute by the Lord Mayor

To Council:

On 6 May 2022, the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre in Kings Cross will celebrate 21 years of saving lives, helping injecting drug users address problematic drug use and taking injecting off the streets.

This is a remarkable achievement, particularly as the Centre initially opened on a trial basis for 18 months. It is also remarkable given the challenges it faced in opening and the opposition to it opening at all.

I first recognised the need for such a Centre as Member for Bligh. Young people were dying from drug overdoses and the community was increasingly confronted by people injecting on the street. It was a rare week when my office was not contacted by someone concerned about the impacts of drug use in Kings Cross.

Impetus for establishing a centre came in 1997 when Justice James Wood presented his final report on his Royal Commission into the NSW Police. After examining issues of illegal drugs and police corruption, Commissioner Wood recommended that the establishment of safe, sanitary injecting rooms should be approved. He said:

“At present, publicly funded programs operate to provide syringes and needles to injecting drug users with the clear understanding they will be used to administer prohibited drugs. In these circumstances, to shrink from the provision of safe, sanitary premises where users can safely inject is somewhat short-sighted. The health and public safety benefits outweigh the policy considerations against condoning otherwise unlawful behaviour.”

Parliament responded to Justice Wood’s recommendation by establishing a Joint Select Committee to examine his recommendation. I was a member of that Committee.

The Committee visited Cabramatta and met with drug users; we inspected Porky’s in Kings Cross, which at the time was a de facto injecting centre, and with local residents I went to Caroline Lane in Redfern, which then had a reputation for street drug use. We took compelling evidence from families, and we were presented with information on action in other countries that was more effectively addressing this serious health problem.

However, when the Committee reported in February 1998 it recommended that the establishment or trial of injecting rooms not proceed. Not all Committee members agreed with this recommendation. Four members, Ian Cohen of the Greens, Labor MPs Ann Symonds and John Mills and I provided dissenting report. We agreed with Commissioner Wood and recommended a scientifically rigorous trial of safe injecting rooms as part of an integrated public health and safety approach to injecting drug use. The Committee’s majority report was not the end of the matter.

Shortly before the NSW election in March 1999, the Sun-Herald published a front page story about drug use, accompanied by a photo of a young user shooting up in Caroline Lane. And on the eve of Sydney hosting the Olympics, the then Premier Bob Carr responded to this disturbing publicity by committing to holding a Drug Summit if he was re-elected.

The Drug Summit was duly held in April 1999. We took evidence from experts and we heard about the experiences of families across NSW. MPs learnt that drug addiction does not discriminate – it has consequences for users and for their families, in the suburbs, in the country. Towards the end of the Summit, as Member for Bligh which included Kings Cross, I moved the motion recommending an injecting centre trial which received majority support in Parliament.

In November 1999, the Government responded to the Summit's recommendations with the Drug Summit Legislative Response Bill, which included provisions for the trial of one medically supervised injecting centre in Kings Cross for 18 months. The Centre was to be established and operated by a non-Government agency. The then Labor Member for Cabramatta refused to have such a centre in her electorate. Initially the Sisters of Charity from St Vincent's Hospital offered to run the centre, but the then Catholic Archbishop of Sydney, George Pell, purportedly on behalf of the Pope, opposed them being involved. At the time I suggested there was more concern about the corporate image of the Church than the work of Christ.

The Reverend Harry Herbert and Uniting Care then stepped up to establish and operate the Centre. A community consultation committee was established and the search for suitable premises began. We inspected 19 premises before in early 2000 a former pinball parlour at 66 Darlinghurst Road was confirmed as the Centre's home, enabling Uniting Care to formally apply for the licence to operate the Centre.

Two years after it opened, the first independent evaluation of the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre by the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre found that it had achieved positive results in terms of getting users into treatment and no deaths.

Gutless NSW Parliaments extended the Centre's trial three times until 2010, that's almost 10 years, when it finally passed legislation ending the trial and allowing the Centre to operate on a permanent ongoing basis.

A third independent evaluation found that the centre had saved lives with no deaths and avoided serious injury with 3,426 drug overdoses by its clients being successfully managed. The Centre had also been successful in referring its clients to drug dependence treatment with 3,871 referrals accepted by clients since 2001.

The impact of the Centre is not shown by statistics alone. Marking the Centre's 10th Anniversary in 2011, I said: "Residents and business operators in Kings Cross no longer see people slumped in doorways, streets and parks and used needles piled up in public places. Ambulances no longer get the huge number of callouts, and local emergency departments see much fewer drug overdoses these days."

Apart from providing a safe place for injecting drug users the centre undertakes a range of initiatives, including needle and syringe programs, community sharps disposal bins, primary health care services and research. It has positioned Sydney as an international leader in harm reduction and in minimising the transmission of HIV and hepatitis C. It is also an important signifier of the kind of city we want Sydney to be: one that is compassionate and responsive to the needs of all its people – including those who struggle with drug addiction.

For these reasons, I am recommending to Council that the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre be granted the keys of the City of Sydney.

Recommendation

It is resolved that Council:

- (A) grant the Keys of the City of Sydney to the Medically Supervised Injecting Centre in Kings Cross to commemorate its 21 years of service to the Sydney community in saving lives, helping injecting drug users address problematic drug use and taking injecting off the streets;
- (B) commend present and past Centre staff for their commitment and compassion under the leadership of current director Dr Marianne Jauncey and foundation director Dr Ingrid van Beek; and
- (C) commend the many people whose courage and foresight ensured that the Centre became a reality and continued to operate, often in the face of government doubts and ever hostile opposition.

COUNCILLOR CLOVER MOORE

Lord Mayor

Item 3.2

Support for Qtopia and a Sydney Queer Museum

File No: S051491

Minute by the Lord Mayor

To Council:

Sydney's LGBTIQ+ community has a long and proud history of setting its own priorities and initiating and developing its own projects, including initiatives which celebrate LGBTIQ+ culture and history.

Recognising this, on 17 March 2014, Council resolved:

“... should the GLBTI community develop a viable proposal for a permanent museum, the City of Sydney will support the community in its efforts to achieve this objective, noting that such a museum could only be established and sustained with strong community, State and Federal government financial support.”

A viable proposal is being put forward by an organisation dedicated to this objective.

Qtopia Sydney has been established with the aim of providing a safe and inclusive space that will celebrate, remember, educate and increase awareness of the rich history of our LGBTIQ+ community.

It is guided by Board of five members, assisted by an Advisory Panel. Together, the Board and Advisory Panel comprises people with experience and expertise in newspaper and magazine publishing, marketing, public relations and crisis communication, LGBTIQ+ history and culture, HIV and AIDS education, museum curation, strategic engagement, trans* and First Nations issues. Its Patrons are the former High Court Justice, The Hon Michael Kirby AC CMG and publisher and broadcaster, Ita Buttrose AC OBE.

Qtopia's founding members and Advisory Panel have identified four key objectives for Qtopia Sydney:

1. To celebrate, remember and highlight the history of LGBTIQ+ history in Australia through videos, interviews, testimonials and other artefacts associated with this
2. To raise awareness and educate community through engagement programs, school curriculum programs, living treasures and HIV/AIDS awareness
3. To promote and nurture a culture of inclusion through contributing to community education
4. To be financially viable through security of tenure and premises, holistic funding perspective and enabling transition to a permanent space.

Qtopia expects to deliver several benefits through achieving these objectives, including:

- Improved visibility and celebration of LGBTIQ+ history and culture:

- Reduced stigma spaced by LGBTQIA+ people
- Improved access to safe, culturally sensitive and inclusive space
- Enabling educational outcomes.

In developing its proposals, Qtopia has had the support of the Sydney Jewish Museum, gaining an understanding of its financial model, volunteer management system, and education and community engagement programs.

Qtopia proposes establishing an interim museum to operate during Sydney WorldPride 2023 and beyond. This interim museum would test, improve and build support for the museum proposal, leveraging the momentum generated by Sydney WorldPride 2023. It would provide an opportunity for extensive consultation with the LGBTQIA+ communities and identifying potential supporters and volunteers.

Discussions are currently underway with property owners for premises to house the interim museum. It would be welcoming and inclusive space, which would be a forerunner of permanent museum focussed on memory, education and celebration of unique LGBTQIA+ diverse histories.

Qtopia's preferred location for a permanent museum is the former Darlinghurst Police Station at Taylor Square, currently used by NSW Health. While this building contributed to injustices suffered by many LGBTQIA+ people, Qtopia believes that transforming it into a significant LGBTQIA+ community resource will support community healing of past injustices, address past wrongs, and celebrate the community's resilience.

Qtopia representatives outlined their vision to the Chief Executive Officer and me on 18 February 2022, shortly before the Progress Pride Flag was raised at Sydney Town Hall for the first time. Qtopia has subsequently met with the Chief Executive Officer and staff to further outline their proposals. They have supported these discussions by providing their confidential business case to the Chief Executive Officer to consider.

Qtopia is seeking seed funding from the Commonwealth and NSW Governments and the City of Sydney to help realise its initial vision of an interim museum during Sydney WorldPride 2023 and beyond. Qtopia is also seeking the City's support in advocating for the former Darlinghurst Police Station to become the museum's permanent home.

An interim Queer museum during Sydney World Pride 2023 and beyond, followed by a permanent Queer museum at Taylor Square would also address most, if not all of the five priorities of the Draft Oxford Street LGBTQIA+ Social and Cultural Place Strategy. It will also help meet the community's demands for more LGBTQIA+ cultural space, expressed during the Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct consultations and the Place Strategy consultations. Moreover, it would complement the draft Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050 Strategy for Taylor Square.

Crucially, the museum would reaffirm the Oxford Street precinct's pre-eminent position in the Australian LGBTQIA+ community.

Recommendation

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council support Qtopia Sydney's proposal for a permanent LGBTIQ+ museum in the former Darlinghurst Police Station, preceded by an interim museum in the Oxford Street precinct during Sydney WorldPride 2023 and beyond, as set out in the subject Minute;
- (B) the Lord Mayor be requested to work with the Member for Sydney, the Member for Wentworth and other interested parties to advocate to the NSW and Commonwealth Governments to achieve these objectives;
- (C) Council note that Qtopia Sydney is currently investigating options for establishing an interim LGBTIQ+ museum in the Oxford Street area to operate during Sydney WorldPride 2023 and beyond; and
- (D) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to:
 - (i) investigate the inclusion of Qtopia Sydney's proposals for a LGBTIQ+ museum in the Oxford Street LGBTIQ+ Social and Cultural Place Strategy;
 - (ii) examine the business case provided by Qtopia Sydney with the aim of providing a report to the next meeting of Council with recommendations of options for the City to assist it to advance their proposals.

COUNCILLOR CLOVER MOORE

Lord Mayor

Item 4.1

Superannuation for Councillors

File No: X086747

Memorandum by the Chief Executive Officer

To Council:

Following an amendment to the Local Government Act 1993 (the Act) in 2021, councils may make payments as a contribution to a superannuation account nominated by their councillors, starting from the financial year commencing on 1 July 2022.

The making of superannuation contribution payments for councillors is optional and is at each council's discretion.

To exercise the option of making superannuation contribution payments for councillors, councils must first resolve at an open meeting to make superannuation contribution payments for the councillors.

Where a council resolves to make superannuation contribution payments for its councillors, the amount of the payment is to be the amount the council would have been required to contribute under the *Commonwealth Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act 1992* as superannuation if the councillors were employees of the council.

As of 1 July 2022, the superannuation guarantee rate will be 10.5 per cent. The rate will increase by half a percent each year until 1 July 2025 when it reaches 12 per cent.

Superannuation would be payable in addition to councillor fees. The superannuation contribution payment is to be paid at the same intervals as the annual fee is paid to councillors.

To receive a superannuation contribution payment, each councillor must first nominate a superannuation account for the payment before the end of the month to which the payment relates. The superannuation account nominated by councillors must be an account for superannuation or retirement benefits from a scheme or fund to which the Commonwealth Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act 1992 applies.

Individual councillors may opt out of receiving superannuation contribution payments or opt to receive reduced payments. Councillors must do so in writing.

Staff have estimated that the initial cost for financial year 2022/23 is around \$65,000. If approved, this will be incorporated into the draft budget for next year with estimates calculated for inclusion in the future years forward estimates.

Councillors undertake important work for their communities while facing a high workload and responsibilities which make it difficult to juggle work, family and civic commitments.

Councillor remuneration is a significant factor in encouraging more people, particularly women and young people, to stand for their community and become councillors.

Recommendation

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council endorse making superannuation contribution payments to councillors;
- (B) Council note the estimated cost for 2022/23 is approximately \$65,000; and
- (C) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to include provision for superannuation contribution payments to councillors in the draft 2022/23 budget and future years' forward estimates.

MONICA BARONE

Chief Executive Officer

Item 5

Matters for Tabling

5.1 Disclosures of Interest

Disclosure of Interest returns that have been lodged in accordance with the City of Sydney Code of Conduct will be tabled.

Recommendation

It is resolved that the Disclosures of Interest returns be received and noted.

Item 6**Report of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee - 4 April 2022****Item 6.1****Disclosures of Interest**

Councillor Linda Scott disclosed a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 6.3 on the agenda, in that she owns a house in trust for a family member with a disability in the City of Sydney.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances, and that, based on advice from staff, she will stay in the meeting, as the changes apply across the City of Sydney.

Councillor HY William Chan disclosed a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 6.4 on the agenda, in that he was appointed by the City of Sydney as an Expert Member to the Sydney 2050 Citizens Jury from August to December 2019.

Councillor Chan stated that he considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because he has no longer been involved in the Sydney 2050 Citizens Jury since December 2019, was not a juror of the Citizens Jury and was not involved in the writing of the Citizens Jury concepts report.

No other Councillors disclosed any pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests in any matters on the agenda for this meeting of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee.

The Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee recommends the following:

Item 6.2

Investments Held as at 31 March 2022

It is resolved that the Investment Report as at 31 March 2022 be received and noted.

(Note – at the meeting of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Scully, seconded by the Chair (the Lord Mayor), and carried unanimously.)

X020701

The Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee recommends the following:

Item 6.3

Special Rate Variation for 2022/23

It is resolved that Council:

- (A) apply to the Minister for Local Government, through her delegate the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal, to vary its general rate income by a permanent special variation for 2022/23 of 2.5 per cent, as allowed under section 508(2) of the Local Government Act 1993;
- (B) note that a 2.5 per cent rate variation will generate a total of approximately \$8 million in additional rate income each year, an additional \$3.5 million (1.1 per cent) each year above the \$4.5 million (1.4 per cent) increase already approved by the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal;
- (C) note the special variation is required for the City to meet its obligations set for 2022/23, and in future years within the 2021/22 Integrated Planning and Reporting documents; and
- (D) has considered that the impact on ratepayers and the community, if the special variation is approved in 2022/23 and for future years, is reasonable.

(Note – at the meeting of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Scully, seconded by the Chair (the Lord Mayor), and carried unanimously.)

X086740

The Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee recommends the following:

Item 6.4

Public Exhibition – Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050 Continuing the Vision and Community Strategic Plan

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council endorse the draft Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050 Continuing the Vision for public exhibition as detailed in Attachment A to the subject report;
- (B) Council endorse the draft Community Strategic Plan Delivering Sustainable Sydney 2030-2050 for public exhibition as required under Section 402 of the Local Government Act 1993 and as detailed in Attachment B to the subject report; and
- (C) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to make minor editorial corrections if necessary, for publication.

(Note – at the meeting of the Corporate, Finance, Properties and Tenders Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Scully, seconded by the Chair (the Lord Mayor), and carried unanimously.)

X012101

Item 7

Report of the Business and Economic Development Committee - 4 April 2022

Item 7.1

Disclosures of Interest

No Councillors disclosed any pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests in any matter on the agenda for this meeting of the Business and Economic Development Committee.

The Business and Economic Development Committee recommends the following:

Item 7.2

Post Exhibition/Adoption - Precinct Activation Grant Guidelines

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council note the submissions received from the community on the exhibited draft Grant Program Guidelines, as shown at Attachment D to the subject report;
- (B) Council approve the Precinct Activation Grant Guidelines as shown at Attachment A to the subject report;
- (C) Council approve the Operational Plan 2021/22 Addendum as shown at Attachment B to the subject report; and
- (D) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to approve any minor editorial corrections prior to publication and authorise the relevant staff to implement any such corrections.

(Note – at the meeting of the Business and Economic Development Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Kok, seconded by Councillor Scully, and carried unanimously.)

S117847

Item 8

Report of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee - 4 April 2022

Item 8.1

Disclosures of Interest

Councillor Linda Scott made the following disclosures:

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that the Kings Cross Branch of the Australian Labor Party (ALP) has made a submission on this item and she is a member of the ALP and has attended meetings at this branch. In addition, members of the Kings Cross Branch of the ALP have volunteered for her in her most recent campaign.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because this submission is only a minor element in this Item and she considers it unlikely to influence her judgement in this matter.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that Dan Skehan, a member of the ALP, donated \$240 to her campaign and is a resident of Palmer Street. Palmer Street will be impacted by the Foley Street laneway in the Riley-Bourke Streets sub-precinct.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because the impact caused by the Foley Street laneway is a very minor element in the proposal.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that Brendan Lunergan donated \$150 to her campaign in 2016, and he lives on Palmer Street which is likely to be impacted by the Foley Street laneway in the sub-precinct.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because the Foley Street Laneway is unlikely to cause a major impact to the residents of Palmer Street.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that Luther Weate is a resident of Pelican Street and donated \$765 to her campaign in 2018, and Pelican Street is likely to be impacted by the planning proposal.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because the impact on Pelican Street from this proposal is unlikely to be significant.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that within the paper for this item, South Dowling Street is mentioned on page 13 as an area with submitters who did not agree with the proposals and stated that the proposals did not reconcile with recommendations of the heritage study.

Councillor Scott has previously received a donation of \$140 from Daniel Richardson, who lives on South Dowling Street and is also a member of the ALP. Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because it is unlikely to influence her judgement, and she provided this disclosure out of an abundance of caution as the paper did not disclose all community members and groups who provided submissions on this planning proposal and South Dowling Street is within the vicinity of the impacted area.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.3 on the agenda, in that she is a member of the Paddington Society, who made a submission on this matter.

Councillor Scott stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because the Paddington Society's submission was only a small component of the Committee's deliberations and she did not take part in the formation of the submission. Councillor Scott also stated that she understands the submission by the Paddington Society, and associated advocacy, has been delivered to all Councillors equally.

Councillor Sylvie Ellsmore made the following disclosures:

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.2 on the agenda, in that she is a member of the Coordinating Committee of the resident actions group, Redfern Everleigh Darlington Waterloo Watch or REDWatch. REDwatch advocates for community input into planning decisions and has made a submission to Councillors for Item 8.2.

Councillor Ellsmore stated that she considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because as an active member of her community, being part of the local residents action group helps inform the decisions she makes on Council. Councillor Ellsmore also stated that she is informed but not bound or directed in any way by her involvement in the group, and her interest is non-pecuniary as she is a member of REDWatch as a volunteer.

- a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.2 on the agenda, in that she previously worked for an Indigenous consultancy, Cox Inall Ridgeway, which undertook a heritage and historical study connecting to the area of the Waterloo South planning proposal.

Councillor HY William Chan disclosed a less than significant, non-pecuniary interest in Item 8.2 on the agenda, in that he is a former employee of Cox Architecture and previously worked on the following Cox Architecture projects:

- former Urban Growth NSW's Growth and Context: The Opportunity at Waterloo (2015);
- Transport for NSW's Sydney Metro City and Southwest, Urban Design: Master Planning Strategies (2015); and
- design development that involved the NSW Communities Plus social housing model, excluding the Waterloo Housing Estate (2019).

Councillor Chan stated that he considers that this non-pecuniary conflict of interest is not significant and does not require further action in the circumstances because he is no longer an employee of Cox Architecture since 2020 and has no relevant relationship to the aforementioned architecture and urban design projects or clients.

No other Councillors disclosed any pecuniary or non-pecuniary interests in any matter on the agenda for this meeting of the Transport, Heritage and Planning Committee.

Local Government and Planning Legislation Amendment (Political Donations) Act 2008

No disclosures were made by any members of the public at this meeting of the Transport, Heritage and Planning Committee.

The Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee recommends the following:

Item 8.2

Public Exhibition - Draft Waterloo Estate (South) Development Control Plan and Submission - Waterloo Estate (South) Planning Proposal and Draft Waterloo Estate (South) Design Guide

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council note the Department of Planning and Environment has placed the Waterloo Estate (South) Planning Proposal and draft Waterloo Estate (South) Design Guide on public exhibition for the period between 3 March 2022 and 29 April 2022;
- (B) Council endorse the draft City of Sydney submission to the public exhibition of the Waterloo Estate (South) Planning Proposal and draft Waterloo Estate (South) Design Guide, provided at Attachment A to the subject report, subject to the following amendments (additions shown in ***bold italics***, deletions shown in ~~strikethrough~~);

2.1 Social housing and affordable housing:

Recommendations

- Restore the requirement in the publicly exhibited planning proposal that 30% of gross residential floor space on LAHC owned land be for social housing and 20% be for affordable housing.
- Ensure that the minimum % requirement for social and affordable housing applies to all residential floor space in Waterloo Estate (South), including any design excellence floor space.
- Develop innovative funding and procurement models to allow for direct dealings with Community Housing Providers to support the increase of social and affordable housing in Waterloo Estate (South) and in later stages of the redevelopment in Waterloo Estate (North) and Waterloo Estate (Central).
- ***Ensure the Department of Communities and Justice develops and implements the Human Services Plan including the delivery of services to existing residents, during the relocation of residents and all future residents.***

2.2 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander housing

The City's draft design guide included provisions to encourage LAHC, and any future community housing providers, to:

- deliver in excess of 10 per cent or more of the affordable housing for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people;
- maintain or increase the current proportion of social housing provided to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people; and
- ensure Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander housing is culturally appropriate housing and developed in consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

Notwithstanding the above, the City acknowledges the Department for maintaining the requirements in the publicly exhibited draft design guide ***recommends allocating 10 per cent or more of the total number of dwellings to be provided for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander housing***, noting there is much work to be done to achieve the outcomes aspired to in the draft design guide.

- (C) Council note that the City of Sydney submission recommends:
- (i) restoring the requirement in the publicly exhibited proposal that 30% of gross residential floor space on LAHC owned land be for social housing and 20% be for affordable housing;
 - (ii) targeted funding by the NSW Government to increase the amount of social and affordable housing in Waterloo Estate (South) and in later stages in Waterloo Estate (North) and Waterloo Estate (Central); and
 - (iii) development of innovative funding models and procurement models to allow for direct dealings with Community Housing Providers to support the increase of social and affordable housing in Waterloo Estate (South) and in later stages of the redevelopment in Waterloo Estate (North) and Waterloo Estate (Central);
- (D) Council note additional technical advice may be submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment, prior to the close of the public exhibition period on 29 April 2022, should additional issues be identified or further information be requested by the Department;
- (E) Council approve the draft Sydney Development Control Plan - Waterloo Estate (South), shown at Attachment B to the subject report, for public exhibition for a period of 28 days;
- (F) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to make any minor variations to the Sydney Development Control Plan - Waterloo Estate (South), shown at Attachment B to the subject report, to correct any drafting errors or inconsistencies, or to ensure consistency with the Waterloo Estate (South) Planning Proposal and draft Waterloo Estate (South) Design Guide;
- (G) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to adopt the Sydney Development Control Plan - Waterloo Estate (South), shown at Attachment B to the subject report, following public exhibition, if no significant public submissions are received; and
- (H) Council does not give the concurrence required under Regulation 10 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 to the reservation of any land under this planning proposal and instructs the Chief Executive Officer to communicate this to the Department of Planning and Environment.

(Note – at the meeting of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee, this varied, alternative recommendation was moved by Councillor Chan and seconded by the Chair (the Lord Mayor).

The varied recommendation was carried on the following show of hands:

Ayes (8): The Chair (the Lord Mayor), Councillors Chan, Davis, Ellsmore, Kok, Scott, Scully and Weldon

Noes (2): Councillors Gannon* and Jarrett*

Note – Councillors Gannon and Jarrett abstained from voting on this matter. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 9.4 of the Code of Meeting Practice, Councillors Gannon and Jarrett are taken to have voted against the motion.

Motion carried.)

X030481

Item 8.3**Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal - Oxford Street Creative and Cultural Precinct - Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 and Draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 - Public Exhibition - Draft LGBTIQ+ Oxford Street Social and Cultural Place Strategy**

The Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee decided that consideration of this matter shall be deferred to the meeting of Council on 11 April 2022.

Officer's Recommendation

The officer's recommendation to the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee was as follows -

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council note matters raised in response to the public exhibition of the Amended Planning Proposal: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct and draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct as described in this report and as shown in Attachment G to the subject report
- (B) Council approve the Planning Proposal: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct as shown at Attachment A to the subject report and amended in response to submissions, and request that the Department of Planning and Environment make it as a local environmental plan under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- (C) Council approve the draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct as shown at Attachment B to the subject report and amended in response to submissions, noting that it will come into effect on the date of publication of the subject local environmental plan, in accordance with Clause 21 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- (D) Council approve the Draft LGBTIQ+ Social and Cultural Place Strategy as shown at Attachment C to the subject report for public exhibition;
- (E) Council note the Transport Study - Oxford Street Cultural and Creative precinct shown at Attachment D to the subject report;
- (F) Council note the matters raised in response to the early consultation on options for taller buildings at Taylor Square as detailed in Attachment H to the subject report, and that these proposals will not proceed at this time; and
- (G) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to make any minor amendments to the Planning Proposal: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct and draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012: Oxford Street Cultural and Creative Precinct to correct any minor errors or omissions prior to finalisation.

Officer's Report

The officer's report on this matter can be found at Item 3 on the agenda of the meeting of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee on 4 April 2022.

X026153

Speakers

Stephan Gyory (Darlinghurst Business Partnership), Vi Hermens (Motorik Records), Mark Crees (Create NSW), Ben Mulcahy, Steven Alderton (National Art School), Greg Fisher (Qtopia Sydney), Mathew Yovich (Precinct Retail), Dan Brady (MA Hotel Management), Anthony Egizii, Michiel Dolk, Luise Elsing (Woollahra Council), Matthew Roberston (Woollahra Council), Stephen Gouge (Ethos Urban), Nick Prilis (Archon Property Pty Ltd), Anthony Kiliias (Urbis), Claude Bereny (The Beacham Hotel), Susan Ritchie (Three Saints Square / The Beacham Hotel), Maximilian Bereny (The Beacham Hotel), Johnny Allen AM, Stephen Davies (Urbis), Vince Squillace (Squillace Architects), George Karageorge (Stasia Property), Steve Pate, Linda Gosling (The Paddington Society), Nathan Larkings (Heaven Nightclub), Daniel Litchfield (Growthbuilt), Brendan Hoskins (Ethos Urban), Joshua Watts (Karbon Property) and Philip Thalys addressed the meeting of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee on Item 8.3.

The Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee recommends the following:

Item 8.4

Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal – Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland – Sydney Local Environmental Plan (Green Square Town Centre) 2013 and Green Square Town Centre Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 Amendment

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council note that no matters were raised in response to the public exhibition of Planning Proposal – Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland and draft Development Control Plan - Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland;
- (B) Council approve Planning Proposal – Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland shown at Attachment A to the subject report to be made as a local environmental plan under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- (C) Council approve draft Development Control Plan - Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland shown at Attachment B to the subject report, noting that the approved development control plan will come into effect on the date of publication of the subject local environmental plan;
- (D) Council approve the Design Excellence Strategy for 377-495 Botany Road, Zetland (also known as site 8A and 8B), version 3.0, prepared by Ethos Urban Pty Ltd and dated 11 March 2022, as shown in Attachment E to the subject report, pursuant to Section 6.1 of the Green Square Town Centre Development Control Plan 2012 and Section 1.2 of the Competitive Design Policy; and
- (E) authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to make minor variations to Planning Proposal – Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland and draft Development Control Plan - Green Square Town Centre: 377 - 495 Botany Road and 960A Bourke Street, Zetland to correct any minor drafting errors prior to finalisation.

(Note – at the meeting of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Chan, seconded by the Chair (the Lord Mayor), and carried unanimously.)

X026151

The Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee recommends the following:

Item 8.5

Fire Safety Reports

It is resolved that Council:

- (A) note the contents of the Fire Safety Report Summary Sheet, as shown at Attachment A to the subject report;
- (B) note the inspection reports by Fire and Rescue NSW, as shown at Attachments B to D of the subject report;
- (C) note the contents of Attachment B and not exercise its power under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to issue a Fire Safety Order at 39-43 Darlinghurst Road, Potts Point;
- (D) note the contents of Attachment C and not exercise its power under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to issue a Fire Safety Order at 7-9 Wilmot Street, Sydney;
- (E) note the contents of Attachment D and exercise its power under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to issue a Fire Safety Order at 389-393 Bourke Street, Surry Hills.

(Note – at the meeting of the Transport, Heritage, Environment and Planning Committee, this recommendation was moved by Councillor Chan, seconded by Councillor Scully, and carried unanimously.)

S105001.002

Item 9**Questions on Notice****1. Road Closures**

By Councillor Scott

Question

Broken down by year since 2012, please detail the number of applications the City has received for road closures or closures of other public space for the purpose of protests or rallies, and also indicate of these, how many were approved or refused.

X086668

2. 280 Jones Street, Pyrmont Lift Failure

By Councillor Gannon

Question

The lift to the light rail station at 280 Jones Street is out of service.

1. When was it first reported as being broken?
2. When does the City expect it to be fixed?
3. What caused the lift to break?
4. What is the City doing to assist residents who have access needs?
5. How long, on average, are lifts out of service before they're brought back online?

X086665

3. Waste Collection – Potts Point

By Councillor Gannon

Question

Waste collection in Potts Point is becoming an increasing concern for residents.

1. Who is contracted to collect waste in Potts Point?
2. What is the boundary of this contract?
3. When is this contract up for renewal?

4. Has the City recently surveyed the waste needs to residents?
 - (a) If yes, what were the results of the survey?
 - (b) If no, will the City be conducting a survey soon?
5. What is the average time between illegal dumping being reported and the collection of the waste?
6. Is there a KPI in the contract for the timely removal of illegally dumped items?
7. Over the last four years have reports of illegal dumping increased in this area? If yes, by how much per year?
8. What else is the City doing to reduce illegal dumping in Potts Point?
9. How many complaints since the beginning of 2022 has the City received about the waste situation in Potts Point?
10. What does the City intend on doing to alleviate the waste burden in Potts Point?
11. How many investigations into illegal dumping has the City undertaken in the past four years?
 - (a) What was the outcome of these investigations?
 - (b) How many fines have been issued as a result of these investigations?
 - (c) How many investigations and penalties have been specific to the Potts Point area?

X086665

4. Kayaks – Rushcutters Bay Park

By Councillor Gannon

Question

At the March 2022 meeting, Council agreed unanimously to set up a registration system for watercraft at Rushcutters Bay Park.

1. When will the registration system begin?
2. What ways will residents be able to register their watercraft?
3. When will the City update the signage at Rushcutters Bay Park?
4. How long will residents have to register their watercraft?

X086665

5. Outdoor Dining Fees

By Councillor Gannon

Question

1. How much revenue did the City make in total from outdoor dining in 2019?
2. How many successful applications for new outdoor dining spaces have been granted since February 2019?
3. How many successful applications for additional outdoor dining spaces have been granted since February 2019?
4. When does the City expect to lift its waiver on outdoor dining fees?
5. How will the City calculate fees for outdoor dining once the waiver is lifted?
6. How will the City calculate fees with specific regard to the new outdoor dining entitlements approved as part of the NSW Government's Alfresco Dining changes (i.e. entitlements on former car parking spaces)?
7. How much revenue does the City expect to collect once the waiver is lifted?

X086665

Item 10

Supplementary Answers to Previous Questions

There are no Supplementary Answers to Previous Questions on Notice for this meeting of Council.

Item 11.1

Notices of Motion

City of Sydney Recognition of and Support for Australian South Sea Islanders

By Councillor Davis

It is resolved that:

(A) Council note:

- (i) Australian South Sea Islanders value their South Sea Islander (SSI) heritage and Pacific islands of origin: the eighty islands of Vanuatu and Solomon's, inclusive of Tuvalu, Kiribati, Fiji, Papua New Guinea and New Caledonia;
- (ii) Australian South Sea Islanders are the descendants of Pacific peoples that were coerced and forcibly removed or kidnapped from their islands and brought to Australia, through an Atlantic Slave trade practice known as blackbirding in the 1800s. The trade saw South Sea Islanders trafficked under the guise of 'Indentured Labour' contracts. They worked mainly in sugar cane farming, but also as seafarers, wharfies and deckhands. Other industries were pastoral, maritime, cotton, fishing, beche-de-mer and the railway economy across Queensland and New South Wales;
- (iii) in the early 1970s, the term 'Australian South Sea Islanders' was agreed upon by a widely consulted community made up of many first generation born leaders and elders. It was agreed that the word 'Australian' gave the mass displaced community a sense of belonging, place and identity as severely disadvantaged peoples;
- (iv) 2022 marks 175 years since entrepreneur politician Benjamin Boyd illegally trafficked the first 200 South Sea Islanders (SSI) to Eden, NSW, to labour alongside Maori and First Nations peoples (1847);
- (v) between 1863 and 1904, some 55,000 to 62,500 South Sea Islanders were forcibly brought to Australia.
- (vi) Sydney and NSW were deeply implicated in this immoral human trafficking, noting for example:
 - (a) Burns Philp, once a leading Australian and international food processing, retail and shipping conglomerate had its foundations in owning and operating ships engaged in blackbirding;
 - (b) Robert Towns (1794-1873), Member of the NSW Legislative Council, President of the Sydney Chamber of Commerce and leading businessman, owned ships used for blackbirding and profited from the forced labour transported on these ships; and

- (c) The Colonial Sugar Refinery, located on the site which is now Waterfront Park, Pyrmont, processed sugar harvested on the Queensland canefields by blackbirded labour;
- (vii) in 1901, the Parliament of the newly federated Commonwealth of Australia passed the Pacific Island Labourers Act, as part of the larger White Australia policy, making it illegal to import South Sea Islanders and mandating the forcible deportation of all Islanders from Australia, even though many had established lives and families in Australia;
- (viii) some exemptions were made, with those South Sea Islanders who were allowed to remain having limited employment and other opportunities;
- (ix) this sorry, and still largely unknown history for many Australians, has resulted in a legacy of discrimination and disadvantage which is largely unrecognised still today in the 21st century;
- (x) on 6 May 1993, the Commonwealth Government tabled the report 'The Call for Recognition', A Report on the Situation of Australian South Sea Islanders, completed by the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission (HREOC) in December 1992;
- (xi) the 1992 Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission Report 'The Call for Recognition' included six recommendations, with the first recommendation being:
 - "The Government should formally recognise Australian South Sea Islanders a unique minority group which is severely disadvantaged as a consequence of racial discrimination."
- (xii) in 1993 the United Nations Working Group on Indigenous and Minority Peoples identified Australian South Sea Islanders as 'population transfer';
- (xiii) on 25 August 1994, the Commonwealth Government formally responded in Parliament to the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission Report 'The Call for Recognition', explicitly accepting the report's first recommendation, with the Government's response receiving bipartisan support;
- (xiv) this recognition included the Government acknowledging:
 - (a) Australian South Sea Islanders were a "distinct ethnic group in Australia with its own history and culture";
 - (b) the injustices of the indentured labour system;
 - (c) the severe disadvantage suffered by the South Sea Islanders and their descendants; and
 - (d) their contribution to the culture, history and economy of Australia;
- (xv) the annual anniversary of the Government's formal response is now commemorated by Australian South Sea Islanders as Recognition Day and is marked in the National Cultural Calendar;

- (xvi) in 1995, the then NSW Premier, Bob Carr, issued a Premier's Memorandum requesting all NSW Government agencies to ensure that the particular needs of Australian South Sea Islanders are adequately reflected in their programs and services, with particular reference to housing, employment, education and health services;
 - (xvii) on 15 August 2013, the NSW Legislative Assembly formally noted 25 August 2013 as Australian South Sea Islander Recognition Day and acknowledged the contribution the Australian South Sea Islander community makes to New South Wales and its history in Australia; and
 - (xviii) on 12 September 2013, the Communities Relations Commission Chairperson Stephen Kerkyasharian AO, sent an official letter to all New South Wales State Agencies drawing their attention to the resolution passed in New South Wales Parliament and the Community Relations Commission and Principles of Multiculturalism, for their internal policies, programs and services to enable people of South Sea Islander heritage to be recognised and included;
- (B) Council:
- (i) recognises that the official term 'Australian South Sea Islanders' relates to the descendants of blackbirding to NSW in 1847; while the historical term, 'South Sea Islanders' refers to both their ancestors, and today, the contemporary community of South Sea Islanders who have migrated to Australia;
 - (ii) recognises the discrimination, injustice, disadvantage and prejudice experienced by South Sea Islanders throughout Australia's history and the significant disadvantage the community still faces today as Australian South Sea Islanders. This history must also be uncovered, acknowledged and embedded into the City of Sydney's planning for the future; and
 - (iii) is committed to achieving a City for All: a sustainable, inclusive, diverse city that is welcoming and embraces people from all walks of life;
- (C) Council note:
- (i) community consultations by the City in the planning for Sustainable Sydney 2050 uncovered a number of key concerns. Common themes that emerged during the consultation process included retaining:
 - (a) the unique character of Sydney; a city that is bold and daring and where residents participate in shaping their own future;
 - (b) a socially connected community that embraces sharing of spaces, resources and knowledge; and
 - (c) an equitable and inclusive community that celebrates diversity and difference;
 - (ii) as part of their consultation and planning for Sustainable Sydney 2050, the City of Sydney undertook The First Peoples Dialogue Forum on 6 May 2019. This was set up to help ensure that the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander voice was incorporated into the strategic planning process;

- (iii) Recognition Day, and a surrounding Recognition Week, provide the City of Sydney an opportunity to program and promote initiatives especially designed in collaboration with Australian South Sea Islanders, with the goal to strengthen the community's awareness of, and pride in, this distinct culture; and
 - (iv) prior Recognition Week ceremonies have seen the official Australian South Sea Islander flag raised annually at locations including Petersham and Leichhardt Town Hall (supported unanimously by Inner West Council) and the Australian National Maritime Museum to coincide with the Australian South Sea Islander 25th Anniversary since Commonwealth recognition. These gestures have helped provide the Australian South Sea Islander community a greater sense of belonging;
- (D) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to:
- (i) investigate the raising of the Australian South Sea Islanders flag over Town Hall annually for Recognition Week on 25 August, and/or light the Town Hall in the flag colours;
 - (ii) investigate the scheduling of a Recognition Week program, where learning and cross-cultural awareness events, workshops and panel discussions could assist the community to better understand, learn about and share the lived experience of Australian South Sea Islanders;
 - (iii) in consultation with the Australian South Sea Islander community, develop a briefing on the history of Australian South Sea Islanders and invite senior City of Sydney Staff to attend. This briefing will enhance the City's capacity to address these issues in a culturally appropriate way and provide feedback on long time community priorities such as grants, procurement and tracking;
 - (iv) investigate a potential Dialogue Forum that brings together Australian South Sea Islanders, specialists, stakeholders, cultural institutions, and City of Sydney Staff, to assist in identifying long term community priorities and the appropriate frameworks for engaging with Australian South Sea Islander organisations and community representatives, that relates to and is inclusive of Australian South Sea Islander methods of cultural governance and practice through lived experiences;
 - (v) where the City does not have the power to directly implement or affect these programs and services, advocate to the NSW and Commonwealth Governments to influence more equitable outcomes, including the continued implementation of the recommendations of the 1992 Call for Recognition report, the 1994 Commonwealth Government response to this report and the 1995 Premier's Memorandum; and
 - (vi) provide an indicative timeline and framework for the above via the CEO Update.

X086660

Item 11.2

Notices of Motion

Moore Park Road Temporary Cycleway

By Councillor Gannon

It is resolved that:

(A) Council note:

- (i) on 21 March 2022, Councillor Gannon and Dave Sharma MP met with residents who live along Moore Park Road, Centennial Park to discuss issues relating to the Moore Park Road Cycleway:
 - (a) that residents have expressed their serious concerns that the temporary cycleway and proposed parking along the south side of Moore Park Road;
 - (b) that residents do not feel as though Council has properly consulted with them;
 - (c) that the proposed parking along the temporary cycleway will be unsafe;
 - (d) that the temporary cycleway is not regularly cleaned or maintained and has become unsafe for cyclists; and
 - (e) that no plans for a future cycleway has been communicated to residents, which has added to their anxiety on the issue;
- (ii) that this Council has repeatedly reaffirmed its belief in expanding Sydney's safe cycleway network; and
- (iii) that the safety of cyclists, pedestrians, motorists and residents alike is paramount to any planning decision; and

(B) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to:

- (i) update Council via the CEO Update on all progress made on the Moore Park Road cycleway project;
- (ii) provide Councillors via the CEO Update the predicted timeline of events for the temporary cycleway configuration to be upgraded to a permanent cycleway;
- (iii) include in the CEO Update all plans previously considered and a report of feedback from past community consultation;
- (iv) update Councillors in the CEO Update of all current and planned parking arrangements along Moore Park Road, along with all safety reports completed or scheduled and any community consultation completed or scheduled;

- (v) ensure the temporary cycleway along Moore Park Road is kept clean and safe for use by cyclists, and consideration be given to a daily mechanical broom sweep; and
- (vi) assess the suitability and success of the 'grate-over-drain' treatments along this route, some of which appear to be a safety hazard.

X086658

Item 11.3**Notices of Motion****Potts Point Waste Collection**

By Councillor Gannon

It is resolved that:

(A) Council note:

- (i) that Potts Point is one of the densest suburbs in Australia; and:
 - (a) that the waste collection situation is becoming increasingly worse in Potts Point;
 - (b) that the increase in waste is due to many factors including the suburbs density and the high turnover of residents moving in and out of the area;
 - (c) that the degrading situation with waste collection is resulting in the local Ibis and rat population to increase;
 - (d) that the waste situation is not only unsightly but also a health and safety hazard for residents and visitors in the area; and
 - (e) that something urgently must be done to improve waste collection services in Potts Point and the Kings Cross locality; and

(B) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to report to Council via the CEO Update of immediate options for consideration to alleviate the current waste situation in the Potts Point area.

X086658

Item 11.4

Notices of Motion

Gender Equity in City Grant Funding

By Councillor Scott

It is resolved that:

- (A) Council note:
- (i) the City of Sydney is a council that promotes gender equity and equal recognition;
 - (ii) increasingly, NSW local governments are harnessing the opportunities of grant funding to promote and achieve gender equity, specifically by setting gender equality targets for fair distribution of sports grants to ensure equal representation of both men and women in sports;
 - (iii) Australia's national gender pay gap is 13.8 per cent, due in part to the uneven distribution of sports funding awarded to male dominated sports organisations;
 - (iv) 50 per cent of Australian girls are quitting sport by the age of 15, compared to 30 per cent of their male counterparts;
 - (v) a petition 'Equal Pay for Equal Play' was lodged within the NSW Legislative Assembly in 2021, calling for gender equality to be made a mandatory condition to be satisfied in order for sporting organisations and clubs to receive state government grants, funding and the award of tenders;
 - (vi) the City's commitment to investigate the application of the 'gender mainstreaming approach' to the development of the Sustainable Sydney 2050 planning to ensure that public spaces, sport, recreation and facilities are planned for, designed and implemented with women – particularly girls, female teenagers, young women and those who identify as gender non-binary;
 - (vii) the City's commitment to investigate research opportunities to better understand if and to what extent this gender 'play gap' within our City, including usage of skate parks, outdoor gyms, basketball courts, indoor studios, tennis courts and community fields;
 - (viii) the City's commitment to investigating ways in which public space design and programming can more equitably provide for girls, female teenagers, women and those who identify as non-binary, providing equal opportunities to participate, thereby contributing to our realisation of a 'City for All';
 - (ix) the City's responsibility to set an example to other local government areas by committing to achieving greater gender equality within this Council term;
- (B) Council commits to and adopts Goal 5 of the United Nations Sustainable Development goals, namely, 'gender equality' and applies this to the distribution of City grants; and

- (C) the Chief Executive Officer be requested to:
- (i) consider, as part of the City of Sydney's review of grants, changing our requirements for grants to better apply considerations of how to better promote greater gender equity;
 - (ii) consider, as criteria, in the City of Sydney's review of grants, the need for applicants to:
 - (a) specify gender equity and equal opportunity actions;
 - (b) provide equal recognition and prize money for both boys and girls, men and women, at all levels, where relevant;
 - (c) provide equal opportunity (entry positions, space, time, training, resources) for both genders including children of both genders to participate in events including competitions and training;
 - (d) provide equal opportunities in administration and management, in relation to elements including coaching pathways, officiating and sitting on boards of governance to promote more female participation in these leadership roles; and
 - (e) detail community engagement strategies to enhance the participation, engagement and ongoing support of women and girls at all levels of competition in all sports and activities;
 - (iii) adopt, as part of the City's communications strategy, gender equity with respect to featuring women and girls through City of Sydney communications and social media; and
 - (iv) provide an update via the CEO Update about how these changes will be adopted.

X086655